

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,391	04/15/2005	Masashi Watanabe	070759-0033	6874
20277 7590 11/10/2009 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 600 13TH STREET, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			NIU, XINNING	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/531,391	WATANABE ET AL.	
Review	XNNING NIU	Art Unit	
	XNNING NIU	2828	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 5 October 2009.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The R reason(s):</li> </ol>	equest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. de reasons why a review is appropriate. included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	se continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from imunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	t Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been er appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ef in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period ce of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date ble.
The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from cor	d the status of the claim(s) is as follows:
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of tion on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	onference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office ction is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) XNNING NIU.	(3)
(2)	(4)
/Robert Pascal/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2817	/T. T./ Tom Thomas Technology Center 2800

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Part of Paper No. 20091104